

“Kinetic Method For Nanogram Determination of Mn (II) Based on Its Catalytic Effect on Periodate Oxidation of O-Anisidine”

Sumitra Arya

Department of Chemistry, MVN University, Palwal, Haryana.

ABSTRACT

A new kinetic –spectrophotometric method based on the Mn (II) catalysed periodate oxidation of o-anisidine (OMA) in acetone water medium has been developed for 10 nanogram/mL to 120 nanogram/mL determination of Mn(II) in water sample. Molar absorptivity ($99.0013 \times 10^3 - 166.0154 \times 10^3 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), sandell's sensitivity ($0.548-0.336 \text{ ng/cm}^2$), correlation coefficient ($0.9988-1.064$) and effect of few interferrants have been worked out for developing the calibration curves in terms of absorbance or initial rate or pseudo first order rate constant vs $[Mn(II)]$ plot. The method is simpler, more sensitive with improved detection limits in comparison to the reported methods is useful in absence of expected interferrants.

Keywords: Nanogram determination, Mn(II) , Periodate oxidation, o-Anisidine.

1. INTRODUCTION

The commonly used method for spectrophotometric determination of Mn(II) in water medium is the persulphate method with detection limit 0.05 mg/L . 4-(2-Pyridylazo)-resorcinol, 2-(5-bromopyridylazo)-5-(diethylaminophenol), chromeazurol-S, bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl) monothiophosphinic acid, 5,8-dihydroxy-1, 4-naphthaquinone and p-methylacetoacetanilide have been used for spectrophotometric estimation of Mn based on complexation, besides its estimation in effluents and tea leaves by its oxidation with sodium bismuthate². However, the reports on kinetic-spectrophotometric estimation of Mn (II) based on periodate oxidation of aromatic amines are comparatively few³⁻⁵. The present paper deals with kinetic-spectrophotometric studies on the nanogram estimation of Mn(II) while it catalyses the o-anisidine-periodate redox system in acetone –water medium.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals used were of E.Merck /Aldrich (AR) grade. Sodium metaperiodate and o-anisidine(OMA) were used after recrystallization and Zn dust distillation respectively. All solutions and reaction mixtures were prepared by using triply distilled water. Absorbance was recorded on Shimadzu double beam spectrophotometer, UV – 150-02. λ_{max} for OMA and sodium

metaperiodate were found to differ widely from the λ_{\max} of the reaction mixture (482 nm) at which the absorbance was noted only in the period in which the λ_{\max} did not change and no precipitate/turbidity appeared. $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (E.Merck AR grade) was used for the preparation of aqueous solutions of different [Mn(II)] (being expressed in micrograms per mL). Following were the finally worked out conditions for running the kinetic sets for the purpose of determination of Mn(II) in aqueous medium: [OMA]=0.01M; [NaIO₄]=0.001M; Acetone=5%(v/v); λ_{\max} =482 nm; Temp=35±0.1°C. The reaction was found to follow second order kinetics with order being one in each reactant. Following procedure was adopted for preparation of calibration curves.

A definite volume of stock solution of OMA in acetone was mixed with calculated volume of a stock solution of Mn(II), acetone and water stirred a little with the help of pipette. The mixture and stock solution of NaIO₄ was then clamped in a thermostat at 35±0.1°C. After 30min, a required amount of the periodate solution was added to the mixture and stirred to start the reaction. All additions were made in amounts calculated for maintaining the concentrations of different reagents as mentioned above. Different sets were prepared in a similar manner varying the [Mn(II)]. Aliquots were withdrawn from the reaction mixture after repeated intervals of 2 min and the absorbance was recorded and absorbance vs. time plots were made for different sets. Initial rates [(dA/dt)₂] were evaluated after 2 min from start of the reaction by using Guggenheim's method⁴. Linear calibration curves were obtained in terms of type 'A', type 'B', type 'C', type 'D' and type 'E' plot, i.e., A₂ or A₄ and A₆ or (dA/dt)₂ or k₁ vs [Mn(II)] plots respectively (where A₂ or A₄ and A₆ are the absorbance values after 2, 4 and 6 min from the start of reaction respectively).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The concentration of Mn(II) may be determined in aqueous solutions by mixing the sample with calculated quantity of OMA, acetone and starting the reaction by adding NaIO₄ followed by noting the A₂ or A₄ or A₆, evaluating (dA/dt)₂ and k₁ by the methods discussed above. After this, different calibration curves may be used for determination of [Mn(II)] in nanograms/mL. Method of least squares was used for obtaining the linear calibration curves with characteristics as given in Table-1.

The values of the slope of calibration curves, molar absorptivity and Sandell's sensitivity indicate that the sensitivity of the method is very good. A change in absorbance by 0.001 unit is expected on changing the concentration of Mn(II) by 0.330-0.552 ng/mL. Further, change in concentration by 1.0 ng/mL will change the rate of reaction by 0.032 absorbance units/minute. In addition, the value of k₁ will change by 0.00060 sec⁻¹ on changing [Mn(II)] by 1 ng/mL i.e. a change sufficient enough to be observed easily. The detection limits (1.0 ng/mL to 120 ng/mL) are also considerably low and these are very good for the trace determination of Mn (II). The correlation coefficient and the

coefficient of determination indicate the high precision involved in the determination and good correlation of the data. It should also be noted that proposed method is far better than the reported ones¹⁻⁵ as far as the sensitivity and detection limits are concerned.

TABLE-1: CHARACTERISTICS OF VARIOUS TYPES OF CALIBRATION CURVES FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD

[OMA]=0.01 M; [NaIO₄]=0.001 M ; Acetone =5% (v/v) ; λ_{\max} =482 nm ; Temp. = 35±0.1°C; Dielectric constant =72.4

Parameters	'A'plot	'B'plot	'C'plot	'D'plot	'E'plot
Beers's law limits (ng/mL)	1-120	1-120	1-120	1-120	1-120
Molar absorptivity x 10 ⁻³ (L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	99.0013	132.0132	166.0154	--	--
Sandell's sensitivity (ng cm ⁻²)	0.548	0.4167	0.336	--	--
Slope x 10 ³ absorbance units ng ⁻¹ cm ³ (from regression equation)	1.6	2.2	3.2	32.0min ⁻¹	1.050x10 ⁻² sec ⁻¹
Intercept x 10 ² (abs. units) (from regression equation)	4.0	4.4	4.5	0.36min ⁻¹	0.04sec ⁻¹
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.9999	0.9999	0.9998	1.064	0.9988
Coefficient of determination (r ²)	0.9998	0.9998	0.9996	1.1321	0.9976
't' (at 0.05 significance level)	5.0510	4.6760	4.4242	3.8704	6.3510
Relative standard deviation (%) (from 6 determinations)	0.7536	0.8086	0.4665	0.8848	0.3526
% error (95% confidence limit)	0.7920	0.8480	0.4910	0.9280	0.3680

The proposed method was tested for many water samples containing known amounts of Mn (II) in the range of the detection limits reported above. The results were found to be reproducible with good relative standard deviation and reasonable range of errors calculated on the basis of six determinations (Table-1).

The effect of various expected interferents was also studied. The method is not applicable in presence of a few aromatic amines like N-ethylaniline, *o*-ethyl aniline, *p*-ethyl aniline, *p*-toluidine, *o*-chloroaniline, *p*-chloroaniline, *p*-bromoaniline, *p*-anisidine, N,N-diethylaniline, N,N-dimethylaniline (when present in the amounts $>120\mu\text{g/mL}$), *p*-phenetidine, 3,5-dimethylaniline and 4-chloro-2-methylaniline as they interfere in this method by getting oxidized by periodate ion and the reaction mixture showing λ_{max} in the range of in which it influences the absorbance in the present estimation method. Further, aniline, N-methylaniline, *o*-toluidine, *m*-chloroaniline, *p*-anisidine, *m*-anisidine, *o*-phenetidine, *m*-phenetidine, *o*-nitroaniline, *m*-nitroaniline, *p*-anisidine, *m*-anisidine, *p*-nitroaniline, *p*-nitroaniline, *o*-nitroaniline, N,N-dimethylaniline (when present in the amounts $120\mu\text{g/mL}$), 2,6-dimethylaniline, 2,6-diethylaniline, 3,4-dimethylaniline, 2,5-dimethylaniline, 2,3-dimethylaniline, 2,6-dichloroaniline, 2,5-dichloroaniline, 3-chloro-2-methylaniline, 3-chloro-4-methylaniline, *o*-amino-benzoic acid, and *p*-aminobenzoic acid have been found to have no interference in the proposed method. The method may be used in presence of the ions like Na^+ , K^+ , NO_2^- , ClO_4^- , NO_3^- and SO_4^- as they do not interfere in the present case. However, the metals like Ag, As, B, Co, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, U and Zn, are expected to interfere in this method. Therefore, a pretreatment is required for separating/precipitating/masking these ions before undertaking the proposed method. For this purpose, H_2S may be passed in presence of 0.3 M H^+ solution, followed by filtration and boiling off H_2S . After this, a dilute alkaline solution of α -nitroso- β -naphthol should be added and again the solution should be filtered⁶. Thereafter, the solution should be neutralized and the present method be applied. Fe may be removed by precipitation using basic formate method⁷. In absence of the interferents reported above, the proposed method may be successfully used for the determination of nanogram quantities of Mn(II) in aqueous solutions.

The involved redox process may be mechanically similar to our earlier reports related to other aromatic amines⁸⁻¹⁰ in which a charged intermediate formation between the active species of periodate (i.e. IO_4^-) and aromatic amines has been established. This intermediate is attacked by H_2O molecule and then reacts with another molecule of IO_4^- to form quinoneimine, which subsequently gets hydrolyzed to form the benzoquinones which are the expected main products of such reactions. The catalytic effect of Mn^{2+} may be attributed to the formation of an intermediate complex between Mn(II) and OMA. In comparison to OMA itself, this intermediate may be more reactive towards periodate leading to an enhanced reaction rate, probably due to the catalytic effect of Mn(II)¹¹.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.N.Kemmer: The Nelco Water handbook, Mc.Graw-Hill Co.,Singapore,p 7.32 (1988)
- [2] P.D.Biswas and K.De, J.Indian Chem.Soc.,80, 195 (2003)

- [3] I.F.Dolmanova,V.P.Poddubienko and V.M.Peshkova , Zh.Anal.khim., 25, 2146 (1970)
- [4] R.D.Kaushik, A.K.Chaubey and R.P.Singh, Indian J.Environ.Ecoplan,7,29 (2003)
- [5] R.D.Kaushik ,Amrita and S.Devi, J.curr.sci.,3,(2003)
- [6] L. Meites , Handbook of Analytical Chemistry, Mc.Graw-Hill Book Co., Inc ,New York, pp.3-4 (1963)
- [7] A.I.Vogel, a text Book of quantitative Inorganic analysis,Longmanns Green,London (1961)
- [8] R.D.Kaushik,V.Kumar and S.Kumar, Asian J.Chem.,11,633 (1999); R.D.Kaushik, V.kumar, R.K.Arya and D.Singh, Asian J.Chem.,121123 (2000)
- [9] R.D.kaushik, R.P Singh and Shashi, Asian J.Chem.,15,1485 (2003)
- [10]R.D.kaushik, A.K.Chaubey and P.K.Garg, Asian J.Chem.,15,1655 (2003)
- [11]S.P.Srivastav, V.K.Gupta and P.Malik, Oxidation Communications,5,475(1983)
- [12]R.D.Kaushik, Shashi,sumitra Devi and Raghvendra P.Singh, Asian J.Chem.,16 ,N0-2,pp 837-840(2004)