# Comparative Crop Water Assessment Using Meteorological Data and Modeling Techniques

Pritha Banik<sup>1</sup>, Nand Kumar Tiwari<sup>2</sup> and Subodh Ranjan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bharat Institute of Technology, Meerut, Uttarpradesh <sup>2</sup>National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra, Haryana <sup>3</sup>National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra, Haryana

#### ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the potential of meteorological data to model the crop water assessment using field data. CROPWAT model is used in this study. A dataset consisting of 2007 to 2011 each for maximum temperature, minimum temperature, relative humidity, sunshine hour, wind speed and rainfall taken from Central Soil Salinity Research Institute Karnal and Meteorological Centre, Dehradun for the plain and hilly region were used for this analysis. Besides, information on crop and soil were collected from different literature review. After putting all the input parameters it calculates reference evapotranspiration, crop evapotranspiration and irrigation requirement for the plain and hilly region. Although so many studies have been reported on Crop water assessment by CROPWAT model but every studies are very much conventional and conservative and no one has carried out a comparative studies in totality for crop of different seasons of varied topographical regions besides no comparative evaluation for Crop water assessment has been made between CROPWAT model and any other credible method. To achieve these objectives, comparison of crop water requirement is made between plain and hilly region for rice and wheat crop to meet the irrigation demand of crops for sustainable development of agriculture. Results were found that reference evapotranspiration of rice and wheat crop is more for the plane region as compared to the hilly region while crop evapotranspiration of rice crop is more for the hilly region as compared to plain region and for wheat crop it is more for the plain region as compared to the hilly region. Irrigation requirement of rice and wheat crop is more for the plain region as compared to the hilly region.

Keywords: CROPWAT model, reference and crop evapotranspiration, irrigation requirement.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Since agriculture is the major user of water, improving agricultural water management is essential. Efficient agricultural water management requires reliable estimation of crop water requirement. Crop water requirements are normally expressed by the rate of evapotranspiration (ET) in mm day<sup>-1</sup>. The global consumption of water is doubling every 20 years, more than twice the rate of human

population growth. A Food and Agriculture organization estimate puts that 70-80 per cent of the increase in food demand between 2000 and 2030 will have to be met by irrigation. Irrigated agriculture is practiced on about 300 million hectares only or 20 per cent of the cultivable area (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2010), but contributing substantially with more than 40 per cent of world's food production. Irrigation can reduce the risks associated with the unpredictable nature of rain fed agriculture in dry regions. Irrigated agriculture offers great potential for economic growth and poverty reduction. The measurement of ET from a crop surface is a very difficult and time consuming task. A large number of more or less empirical methods have been developed over the last 50 years by numerous scientists and specialists worldwide to estimate evapotranspiration from different climatic variables like Thornthwaite method, Hargreaves method, Turc method, Blaney Criddle method, Modified Penman method, Penman-Monteith method etc. To evaluate the performance of these methods under different climatological conditions, a major study was undertaken under the auspices of the Committee on Irrigation Water Requirements of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). They said that these methods either underestimate or overestimate the evapotranspiration except Penman-Monteith method. They recommended the FAO Penman-Monteith method as the sole standard method for computation of evapotranspiration. India have different topographical region. It is necessary to know the crop water requirements for different regions to meet the irrigation demand and for sustainable development of agriculture. One of the major practices adopted by the researchers for water requirement of crops is modeling. For determination of crop evapotranspiration and yield responses to water, CROPWAT model is used which was developed by the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) Land and Water Development Division. Based on FAO Penman-Monteith method CROPWAT model has been developed. It requires some input meteorological parameter like maximum temperature, minimum temperature, relative humidity, sunshine hour, wind speed. After putting all the input parameters it calculates reference evapotranspiration, crop evapotranspiration and irrigation requirement for the plain and hilly region.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Selection of a Model.** In this study, CROPWAT model is selected for the computation of crop water requirement and irrigation requirement of plain and hilly region for rice and wheat crop. CROPWAT 8.0 can calculate reference evapotranspiration using only maximum and minimum temperature, sunshine hour, rainfall, relative humidity, wind speed etc.

**CROPWAT Model Input Data.** The basic input data for CROPWAT model are the climatic parameters which are required for calculating reference evapotranspiration. Researchers proposed several methods to determine evapotranspiration out of which the Penman-Monteith Method (FAO

1998) has been recommended as the appropriate combination method to determine the crop water requirements from climatic data on temperature, humidity, sunshine and wind speed.

### 3. REFERENCE EVAPOTRANSPIRATION (ETo) CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

 $ET_o/$  Climate Data Input and Output. The climate module is selected by clicking on the "Climate/ET<sub>o</sub>" icon in the module bar located on the left of the main CROPWAT window. The data window opens with the default data type (monthly / decade / daily values); it is possible to quickly change to another data type by using the drop down menu from the "New" button on the toolbar. The module is primary for data input, requiring information on the meteorological station together with climatic data.

 $ET_o/$  Climate Data Saving. After checking the data for possible errors, climate/ $ET_o$  data is saved by selecting the "Save" button on the toolbar or the "File" > "Save" menu item. It is required to give an appropriate name to the data set which can easily be recognized later. In this study, the name KARNAL and DEHRADUN, referring to the climate station of plain region and hilly region from which data has been taken, were used.

**Rainfall Data Input and Output.** The rain module is selected by clicking on the "Rain" icon in the module bar located on the left of the main CROPWAT window. The data window opens with the default data type (daily / decade / monthly values); it is possible to quickly change to another data type by using the drop down menu from the "New" button on the toolbar. Once the window is open with the suitable data type, rainfall data is typed and the input is checked. The rain module includes calculations producing effective rainfall.

**Saving Rainfall Data.** Rainfall data is saved after input of one set of data is completed. To do so, "Save" button on the toolbar is selected or the "File" > "Save" menu item.

**Crop Data Input and Output.** The crop module is selected by clicking on the "Crop" icon in the module bar located on the left of the main CROPWAT window. The data window opens with the default data type (non-rice / rice crop); it is possible to quickly change to the other data type by using the drop down menu from the "New" button on the toolbar. Data required differ in case of non-rice or a rice crop. In case of non-rice crop, crop name, planting date, crop coefficient ( $K_c$ ), stages length, rooting depth, critical depletion fraction (p), yield response factor ( $K_y$ ) are necessary.

**Soil Data Input and Output.** The soil module is selected by clicking on the "Soil" icon in the module bar located on the left of the main CROPWAT window. The Soil module is essentially data input, requiring the general soil data like Total Available Water (TAW), maximum infiltration rate,

maximum rooting depth, initial soil moisture depletion. In case of rice calculation, additional soil data are required like drainable porosity, critical depletion for puddle cracking, water availability at planting, maximum water depth.

**Soil Data Saving.** Soil data is saved after input of one set of data is completed. To do so, "Save" button on the toolbar is selected or the "File" > "Save" menu item.

**Crop Water Requirement (CWR) Calculations.** Calculation of the CWR is carried out by calling up successively the appropriate climate and rainfall data sets, together with the crop files and the corresponding planting dates. In case of CWR calculation of rice, soil data are also required.

**CWR Data Input and Output.** The CWR module is selected by clicking on the "CWR" icon in the module bar located on the left of the main CROPWAT window. Data on climate/ $ET_o$ , rainfall, crop and soil are required. If not all data are available, CROPWAT will produce a warning and close the CWR module. The CWR module includes calculations, producing the irrigation water requirement of the crop on a decadal basis.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained by CROPWAT 8.0 software are tabulated below:

Year	ET <sub>o</sub> [mm/day]	ET <sub>c</sub> [mm/decade]	IR [mm/decade]
2007	4.59	698	693.3
2008	4.02	628.6	550.3
2009	4.86	750.6	882.5
2010	4.12	632.7	453
2011	4.17	637.8	682.2

Table 1. ET<sub>0</sub>, ET<sub>c</sub> and IR Values of Rice Crop for Plain Region<sup>10</sup>

 $<sup>^{10}\,</sup>$  In Table 1, 2 , 3 and 4 ET<sub>0</sub>, ET<sub>c</sub> and IR represents the reference evapotranspiration, crop evapotranspiration and irrigation requirement respectively.

Year	ET <sub>o</sub> [mm/day]	ET <sub>c</sub> [mm/decade]	IR [mm/decade]
2007	4.06	756.5	427.7
2008	3.31	650.4	263.2
2009	3.95	741.9	377.6
2010	3.57	659.2	367.4
2011	3.48	665.3	250.4

Table 2. ET<sub>0</sub>, ET<sub>c</sub> and IR values of rice crop for hilly region<sup>1</sup>

Table 3. ET<sub>o</sub>, ET<sub>c</sub> and IR values of wheat crop for plain region<sup>1</sup>

Year	ET <sub>o</sub> [mm/day]	ET <sub>c</sub> [mm/decade]	IR [mm/decade]
2007	2.85	309.5	211.6
2008	2.71	305.2	296.5
2009	2.86	311.6	287.2
2010	2.78	292.5	267.8
2011	2.60	284	231

Table 4. ET<sub>o</sub>, ET<sub>c</sub> and IR values of wheat crop for hilly region<sup>1</sup>

Year	ET <sub>o</sub> [mm/day]	ET <sub>c</sub> [mm/decade]	IR [mm/decade]
2007	3.30	278	164.2
2008	2.59	223.5	183.5
2009	2.72	240.8	194.1
2010	2.71	231.3	175
2011	2.49	215.6	145.9

Now comparison is made between reference evapotranspiration, crop evapotranspiration and irrigation requirement of rice and wheat crop for the plain and hilly region.



Comparison of Reference Evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) of Rice Crop<sup>11</sup>

Fig. 1: Comparison of  $ET_0$  of plain and hilly region of the year 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 respectively<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In figure 1 and figure 2 black line and dotted line represents the reference evapotranspiration of plain and hilly region respectively.



Comparison of Reference Evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) of Wheat Crop<sup>2</sup>





Comparison of Crop Evapotranspiration (ET<sub>c</sub>) of Rice Crop<sup>12</sup>

Fig 3.Comparison of ET<sub>c</sub> of plain and hilly region of the year 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 respectively<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In Fig. 3 black and grey color represents the  $ET_c$  of rice crop for plain region and hilly region respectively. In Fig. 4 grey and black color represents the  $ET_c$  of plain and hilly region respectively for wheat crop.



Comparison of Crop Evapotranspiration  $(ET_c)$  of Wheat Crop<sup>3</sup>





Comparison of Irrigation Requirement (IR) of Rice Crop<sup>13</sup>

Fig 5. Comparison of irrigation requirement of plain and hilly region of the year 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 respectively<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In Fig. 5 black and grey color represents the irrigation requirement of plain and hilly region respectively for rice crop. In Fig. 6 grey and black color represents the irrigation requirement of plain and hilly region respectively for wheat crop.



Comparison of Irrigation Requirement (IR) of Wheat Crop<sup>4</sup>

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

From the above graphs (Figure 1) it has been observed that reference evapotranspiration of rice crop is more for the plain region as compared to the hilly region. Because temperature and sunshine hour was maximum during these periods for the plane region as compared to the hilly region. From Figure 2 it is again observed that reference evapotranspiration of wheat crop is also more for the

plane region as compared to the hilly region due to the maximum temperature and sunshine hour during the period of 2007 to 2011.

Then again from Figure 3 it is observed that crop evapotranspiration of rice crop is more for the hilly region as compared to the plain region. It was observed that temperature and sunshine hour was maximum for the Karnal region during the period of 2007-2011 as compared to the Dehradun region. It was also observed that effective rainfall was less for the Karnal region during that period as compared to Dehradun region. From Fig.4 it is observed that crop evapotranspiration ( $ET_c$ ) of wheat crop is more for the plain region as compared to the Dehradun region during the period of 2007 to 2011. It was observed that temperature and sunshine hour was minimum in Karnal region during Rabi season as compared to Dehradun region. It was also observed that effective rainfall was less in Karnal region as compared to Dehradun region during Rabi season.

From Figure 5 and Figure 6 it is observed that irrigation requirement of rice and wheat crop is more for the plain region as compared to the hilly region. It was observed that there was less effective rainfall in Karnal region as compared to the Dehradun region during Kharif season. So irrigation requirement for Karnal region was more as compared to Dehradun region.

**Conclusions** It was found that reference evapotranspiration of rice and wheat crop is more for the plain region as compared to the hilly region. Crop evapotranspiration of rice crop is found more for the hilly region as compared to plain region whereas for wheat crop it was more for plain region as compared to hilly region. Irrigation requirement of rice and wheat crop is more for the plain region as compared to the hilly region.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M, Xiuju Z, Ishag A. Hussein, G. *Estimating reference evapotranspiration using CROPWAT model at Guixi Jiangxi province*. State Key Laboratory of Hydrology and Water Resources and Hydraulic Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing.
- [2] Adeniran K A, Amodu M F, Amodu M O, Adeniji F A.*Water requirements of some selected crops in Kampe Dam irrigation project.* Australian Journal of Agricultural Engineering 2010; Vol.1, No.4:119-125.
- [3] Allen R G, Pereira L S, Raes D, Smith M. *Crop evapotranspiration: guidelines for computing crop water requirements.* FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper; No. 56.
- [4] Arku A Y, Musa S M, Mofoke A L E. Determination of water requirements for irrigating Hibiscus (Rosa Sinensis) in Maiduguri Metropolis. Journal of Applied Hytotechnology in Environmental Sanitation 2012; Vol.1, No.1:37-42.
- [5] Arulkar K P, Hiwase S S. *Evaluation of crop evapotraspiration for rice (kharif) in Nagpur district.* Agric Sci Digest 2008; 28 (2):149 – 150.

- [6] Bhat N R, Lekha V S, Suleiman M K, Ali S I, George P, Al-Mulla L. *Estimation of water requirements for young date palms under arid climatic conditions of Kuwait*", World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2012; 8 (5):448-452.
- [7] Chatterjee S K, Banerjee S, Bose M. Climate Change impact on crop water requirement in Ganga river basin, West Bengal, India. 3<sup>rd</sup>International Conference on Biology, Environment and Chemistry 2012; Vol. 46.
- [8] Das P, Gupta S. *Ground water information booklet, Karnal district, Haryana.* Ministry of Water Resources, Central Ground Water Board, Government of India 2007.
- [9] DIOP M. Analysis of crop water use in Senegal with the CROPWAT model. CEEPA, University of Pretoria.
- [10] Durand W. Assessing the impact of climate change on crop water use in South Africa. ARC-Grain Crops Institute, Potchefstroom, Private Bag X1251, 2520 Republic of South Africa.
- [11] Eid H M, Marsafawy S M, Ouda S A. Assessing the impact of climate on crop water needs in Egypt: the CROPWAT analysis of three districts in Egypt. Soil, Water & Environment Research Institute (SWERI), Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.
- [12] Corporate Document Repository. *Irrigation water management: irrigation water needs*. Natural Resources Management and Environment Department.
- [13] Harrington L W, Fujisaka S, Morris M L, Hobbs P R, Sharma H C, Singh R P, Chaudhary M K, Dhiman S D. *Wheat and rice in Karnal and Kurukshetra districts, Haryana, India: farmer's practices, problems and an agenda for action.* Haryana Agricultural University 1992, Indian Council for Agricultural Research.
- [14] Hunink J E, Droogers P. *Climate change impact assessment on crop production in Uzbekistan.* World Bank Study on Reducing Vulnerability to Climate Change in Europe and Central Asia (ECA) Agricultural Systems 2011.
- [15] Karanja F K. *CROPWAT model analysis of crop water use in six districts in Kenya*. Department of Meteorology, University of Nairobi.
- [16] Kuo S, Ho S, Liu C. Estimation irrigation water requirements with derived crop coefficients for upland and paddy crops in ChiaNan irrigation association, Taiwan. Agricultural Water Management 2005; 433–451.
- [17] Molua E L, Lambi C M. Assessing the impact of climate on crop water use and crop water productivity: the CROPWAT analysis of three districts in Cameroon. Department of Economics, University of Buea.
- [18] Nayak A B. An analysis using Liss III Data for estimating water demand for rice cropping in parts of *Hirakud command area, Orissa, India.* Indian Institute of Remote Sensing and National Remote Sensing Agency 2006, Department of Space, Government of India, Dehradun, India.
- [19] Smith M, Kivumbi D, Heng L K. *Use of the FAO CROPWAT model in deficit irrigation studies.* National Resources Management and Environment Department.
- [20] Thimme G P, Manjunaththa S B, Yogesh T C, Sunil A S. Study on water requirement of Maize (Zea mays L.) using CROPWAT model in northern transitional zone of Karnataka. Journal of Environmental Science, Computer Science and Engineering & Technology 2013; Vol.2,No.1, 105-113.